

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,862	08/13/2001	Helmut Rembold	1725	7870
7590 02/13/2004 STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY 103 East Neck Road			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, CARL STUART	
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	09/928,862	REMBOLD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carl S. Miller	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states and the provided by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 January 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) $6.8.14$ and $15$ is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,8,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	ents have been received. ents have been received riority documents have beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies estic priority under 35 U.S first sentence of the spectrum of the	in Application No een received in this National Stage not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cification or in an Application Data Sheet. as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/928,862

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 4 and 9-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rembold ('718).

In particular, applicant's attention is directed to Figure 8 of the reference.

Applicant should further note that the line (52) passes thought the pump housing.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rembold ('718) in view of Rosenau.

Rembold applies as noted above and Rosenau teaches parallel return lines positioned between a low and high pressure pump. The first line is for a pressure regulator and the second for an over pressure regulator. Returning the fuel in both cases to the inlet of the low pressure pump is equivalent to returning it to the fuel tank.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,862

Art Unit: 3747

Page 3

It would have been obvious to include an overpressure valve in Rembold became Rosenau had recognized the need for such a valve (as located) in this type of injection system and the problem of overpressure between the pumps would have been present in Rembold as well.

Claims 6, 8, and 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 308-2653.

Miller/DI

February 2, 2004

Primary Exemino: